

## Evaluation of Parents for Selected Grain Quality Traits through a Specific Gene-Based Markers

Bharat Lal Maurya, Alok Kumar Singh, Ramesh Kumar Maurya\* and N. V. Durga Prasad Rao

Department of Genetics & Plant Breeding, Tilak Dhari Post Graduate College, Jaunpur, 222002, Uttar Pradesh, India

\*Corresponding author

### ABSTRACT

Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) is one of the most important cereal crops worldwide and plays a crucial role in global food security. Despite remarkable achievements in enhancing rice productivity, limited emphasis has been placed on improving grain quality traits that contribute significantly to consumer preference and export value. The present study was undertaken to investigate the genetic basis of yield and grain quality traits through quantitative trait loci (QTL) mapping in rice using segregating populations. A total of 304 F<sub>2:3</sub> lines derived from contrasting parental genotypes were evaluated for important quality and agronomic traits, while 96 polymorphic molecular markers were employed for genotypic analysis. Linkage map construction was performed using QTL IciMapping version 4.2, and QTL detection was carried out using composite interval mapping through Windows QTL Cartographer. Chi-square analysis was used to assess marker segregation, while linkage groups were established at a minimum LOD threshold of 3.0. Significant QTLs were identified using a LOD threshold of 2.5 and validated through permutation testing at a 5% significance level. The results revealed substantial variability among the parental lines and segregating generations for elongation ratio, elongation index, days to 50% flowering, days to maturity, and plant height. The F<sub>1</sub> hybrids generally exhibited superior performance for several traits, indicating the presence of heterosis, whereas the F<sub>2</sub> populations showed considerable segregation and transgressive variation. Several genomic regions associated with grain quality and agronomic traits were identified, explaining significant proportions of phenotypic variation. The findings provide valuable insights into the genetic architecture of economically important traits and offer useful molecular markers for marker-assisted selection and the development of high-yielding rice cultivars with superior grain quality characteristics.

#### Keywords

Rice,  
*Oryza sativa* L.,  
Quantitative Trait  
Loci (QTL),  
Marker-Assisted  
Selection,  
Grain Quality Traits,  
Linkage Mapping

#### Article Info

##### Received:

10 April 2026

##### Accepted:

29 May 2026

##### Available Online:

10 June 2026

### Introduction

The rice is (*Oryza sativa* L.) belongs to the family Poaceae (Gramineae) and genus *Oryza*. The genus *Oryza* is distributed throughout the tropics and subtropics of the

world. It has 25 species out of which 23 species are wild and only two species viz., *Oryza sativa* and *Oryza glaberrima* are cultivated. *Oryza sativa* is predominately cultivated in the Asiatic region whereas, *O. glaberrima* belongs to Africa.

The genus contains both diploid ( $2n = 24$ ) as well as tetraploid ( $2n = 48$ ) species. *O. sativa* is further classified into three sub-species based on geographical distribution and morphological traits: *Japonica*, *indica*, and *javanica*.

In India during the year 2020-21, rice was cultivated on an area of 43.60 million hectares with a record production of 121.46 million tones and with average productivity of 2.75 t/ha (Anonymous, 2021). West Bengal ranks first in rice production followed by Uttar Pradesh and Punjab (Anonymous, 2020). Despite having wheat as a major cereal crop and sugarcane as the principal commercial crop, Uttar Pradesh ranks second in total rice production in India.

Since the green revolution, there has been a significant increase in the production and productivity of rice. Advancement of technology, development of excellent hybrids, modern management techniques, and many more factors has led to enhanced rice production. The production of rice has increased from 30.45 MT in 1966-67 to 118.43 MT in 2019-20 (FAOSTAT, 2020). We are next only to China in rice production. It is unfortunate that despite achieving independency in rice production, the quality characteristics, that may increase the total export value of rice, have not been given due attention.

Conventional agriculture mainly focuses on yield enhancement, while very little attention has been given to the quality improvement of rice and with this drawback, crop improvement is still chasing quantity rather than quality improvement. Districts of Uttar Pradesh covering an area of about 5.87 million ha (13.34% area of the country) with the production of 15.57 million tonnes (Anonymous, 2020). Few districts of the state such as Binaur, Kushinagar, Peelibheet, Chandauli, Bagpat, Ambedkarnagar, and Varanasi are reported as high productive areas for rice cultivation because of appropriate climatic conditions and vast area of fertile Gangetic soil available in this area.

## Material and Methods

The phenotypic data of the 304  $F_{2:3}$  lines for quality and yield traits and the genotypic data of all the 96 polymorphic markers were used for the construction of linkage map and mapping QTLs for different traits. The linkage map was prepared using QTL IciMapping Version 4.2 (Meng *et al.*, 2015).

Chi-square values were calculated for each marker to detect segregation deviations (at  $P=0.05$ ) from the expected Mendelian ratio. Linkage groups (LG) were developed using an independence test of the LOD score with a minimum threshold set to „3.0“ which shows that the probability of occurrence of linkage between any two markers is 1000 times more than no linkage (Stam P, 1993). Kosambi mapping function was used to convert recombination frequency (in percent) between adjacent markers into map interval distance in centi Moran (cM). The default settings of the software were used: LOD = 3.0, k-Optimality-by Rec-2OptMAP, window size = 5. QTLs were detected using Windows QTL Cartographer Version 2.5\_011 (Wang *et al.*, 2012) by employing composite interval mapping (CIM). CIM was carried out using the default settings (standard model 6, walk speed of 1cM, default value 5, window size of 10 with forward regression analysis). The linkage between the markers and the QTL was detected by a statistical test called the Logarithm of Odds (LOD) score method using a computer program.

The LOD score was calculated using the following formula:

$$\text{LOD score} = \log_{10} \frac{\text{Probability of certain degree of linkage}}{\text{Probability of independent assortment}}$$

Generally, there is a direct one-to-one transformation between LOD scores and Likelihood Ratio Statistic (LRS) scores. The conversion was calculated by the formula:

$$\text{Likelihood Ratio Statistic (LRS)} = 4.6 \times \text{LOD}$$

The LOD profiles were used to identify the most likely position for a QTL in relation to the linkage map, it is the position where the highest LOD value is obtained. A typical output from interval mapping was a graph with markers comprising linkage groups on X-axis and the test statistic on the Y-axis. The peak or maximum must also exceed a specified significance level to declare the QTL as „real“ (i.e., statistically significant).

A default Likelihood Ratio (LRS) of 11.5 and an appropriate threshold value of LOD 2.5 as documented by publications of QTL analysis (Sabouri & Biabani, 2009; Swamy *et al.*, 2018) was used to declare QTLs as significant.

A permutation test (Churchill & Doerge, 1994) was used at 0.05 confidence level and 1000 permutations of trait data to identify QTLs with LRS of 11.5 that satisfied this stricter empirical threshold. The percentage of total phenotypic variation ( $R^2$ ) explained by each QTL and the additive effects were estimated.

## **Result and Discussion**

### **Elongation ratio (ER)**

The observation of mean values elongation ratio ranges from 1.69 to 1.84 and are depicted in table 4.1.1. It is clear from the table that the mean values for the two parents differed significantly. The  $F_1$  hybrid (1.83) recorded higher mean values compared to parent 1, but slightly lower than parent 2. While the mean value of the  $F_2$  generation (1.80) was lower than the observation of the  $F_1$  generation. The mean value of  $BCP_1$  (1.76) was lower than the  $F_1$  but higher than the recurrent parent  $P_1$ , whereas the mean value of  $BCP_2$  (1.75) was lower than the  $F_1$  and the recurrent parent  $P_2$ .

### **Elongation index (EI)**

The mean values of six different generations for the elongation index are presented in table 4.1.1 and they ranged from 0.92 to 1.18. The mean values of both parents varied significantly from each other. The mean values of  $F_1$  (1.18) outperformed both the parents, while the mean values of  $F_2$  generations (1.07) were smaller than the mean values of the  $F_1$  generation. The mean value of  $BCP_1$  (0.95) was lower than the  $F_1$  hybrid but higher than the recurrent parent  $P_1$ , whereas the mean value of  $BCP_2$  (1.14) was lower than the mean values of  $F_1$  as well as the recurrent parent  $P_2$ .

### **Days to 50 per cent flowering (DF)**

The trait days to 50% flowering mean values are depicted in table 4.1.2 and it ranged from 101.73 days to 121.90 days, and the parental mean values differed significantly from each other. The  $F_1$  mean (111.00 days) was intermediate between both the parents, while the mean value for the  $F_2$  generation (108.72 days) was lower than the mean value of the  $F_1$  hybrid. The mean values of the  $BCP_1$  (105.04 days) and  $BCP_2$  (115.83 days) generations were in between the mean value of  $F_1$  and their respective recurrent parents.

### **Day to maturity (DM)**

The observed mean days to maturity ranged from 132.50 to 152.90 days, with the parental values differing significantly from each other (Table 4.1.2). The  $F_1$  mean (141.13 days) was intermediate between the two parents, while the mean value of the  $F_2$  generation (138.99 days) was lower than that of the  $F_1$  hybrid. The mean value of  $BC_1P_1$  (135.50 days) was lower than the  $F_1$  mean but higher than that of the recurrent parent  $P_1$ , whereas the mean value of  $BC_1P_2$  (146.25 days) was higher than the  $F_1$  mean but lower than that of the recurrent parent  $P_2$ .

### **Plant height (PH)**

The observation of mean values for plant height are presented in table 4.1.2 and they varied from 100.13 cm to 161.40 cm. For the trait PH, both parents differed significantly from one another.

The  $F_1$  mean value (160.27 cm) was nearer to the second parent, while the mean value for the  $F_2$  generation (131.92 cm) was significantly lower than the observation mean value of the  $F_1$  hybrid.

The observation value of  $BCP_1$  (123.85 cm) was lower than the mean value of  $F_1$  but nearer to the mean values of its recurrent parent  $P_1$ , while the mean value of  $BCP_2$  (158.13 cm) was lower than the  $F_1$  hybrid as well as its recurrent parent  $P_2$ .

### **Panicle length (PL)**

The mean values for the trait panicle length varied from 22.33 cm to 30.73 cm, and a significant difference existed between both the parents for the trait (Table 4.1.2). The  $F_1$  mean (29.73 cm) was nearer to the second parent, while the mean value for the  $F_2$  generation (25.80 cm) was lower than the observation mean value of the  $F_1$  hybrid. The observation mean value of  $BCP_1$  (25.00 cm) was in between the mean value of  $F_1$  and its recurrent parent  $P_1$ , while the mean value of  $BCP_2$  (27.72 cm) was lower than the mean values of  $F_1$  as well as its recurrent parent  $P_2$ .

### **Number of effective tillers per plant (ET)**

The mean values for the number of effective tillers per plant are expressed in table 4.1.2, and they ranged from 11.74 to 17.03 tillers per plant. The parental mean value

for the trait ET. The mean values of F<sub>1</sub> (17.03) outperformed both the parents, while the F<sub>2</sub> mean values (11.97) were lower than both the parents as well as their F<sub>1</sub>. The mean values of the BCP<sub>1</sub> (12.70) and BCP<sub>2</sub> (11.74) generations were lower than the mean value of F<sub>1</sub> as well as the mean values of their respective recurrent parents.

### Number of spikelet's/panicle (NSP)

The observation means values for the number of spikelet's ranged from 170.83 to 199.27 and are presented in table 4.1.2. The mean values of differed significantly both the parents in their per se performance. The F<sub>1</sub> mean (188.77) was in between the mean values of both the parents, while the F<sub>2</sub> mean (170.83) was lower than the mean values of both the parents as well as their F<sub>1</sub> hybrid. The mean values of BCP<sub>1</sub> (185.49) were lower than both the F<sub>1</sub> hybrid as well as the recurrent parent P<sub>1</sub>, whereas the mean value of BCP<sub>2</sub> (179.63) was lower than F<sub>1</sub> but higher than the recurrent parent P<sub>2</sub>.

### 1000 grain weight (TW)

The mean values for the six different generations of 1000 grain weight are depicted in table 4.1.2 and they ranged from 10.69 g to 15.20 g. For TW, the two parental mean values differed significantly from each other. The F<sub>1</sub> mean value (15.12 g) showed superior performance over parent 2 and was similar to the mean value of parent 1.

The mean value of the F<sub>2</sub> generation (12.45 g) was lower than the observation mean value of the F<sub>1</sub> generation. The mean value of BCP<sub>1</sub> (12.73 g) was lower than that of F<sub>1</sub> as well as the recurrent parent P<sub>1</sub>, whereas the mean value of BCP<sub>2</sub> (12.02 g) was lower than F<sub>1</sub> but higher than the recurrent parent P<sub>2</sub>.

### Grain yield per plant (GYPP)

The mean values for the economic trait grain yield per plant varied from 14.98 g to 33.89 g, and both the parents differed significantly from each other (Table 4.1.2). The mean values of the F<sub>1</sub> generation (33.89 g) outperformed both the parents, while the mean values of the F<sub>2</sub> generation (14.98 g) were significantly lower than the mean values of F<sub>1</sub> as well as both the parents. The mean values of BCP<sub>1</sub> (23.24 g) and BCP<sub>2</sub> (15.27 g) generations were lower than the mean value of F<sub>1</sub> as well

as the mean values of their respective recurrent parents.

In conclusion, the earlier reported gene-specific markers; nksbad2, BADEX7\_5, Aro7, and SSI used in the present study were able to differentiate the parents for aroma, cooking, and eating quality traits hence these validate the presence of *for* gene (*badh2*) and GBSSI gene in the parents.

The scaling test results showed significance for either one of the four scales or in combination, along with a significant  $\chi^2$  value of joint scaling, suggesting the presence of non-allelic interaction for all the grain quality and yield traits.

### Author Contributions

Bharat Lal Maurya: Investigation, formal analysis, writing—original draft. Alok Kumar Singh: Validation, methodology, writing—reviewing. Ramesh Kumar Maurya:—Formal analysis, writing—review and editing. N. V. Durga Prasad Rao: Investigation, writing—reviewing.

### Data Availability

The datasets generated during and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

### Declarations

**Ethical Approval** Not applicable.

**Consent to Participate** Not applicable.

**Consent to Publish** Not applicable.

**Conflict of Interest** The authors declare no competing interests.

### References

- Churchill, G. A., & Doerge, R. W. (1994). Empirical threshold values for quantitative trait mapping. *Genetics*, 138(3): 963-971. <https://doi.org/10.1093/genetics/138.3.963>
- Meng, L., Li, H., Zhang, L., & Wang, J. (2015). QTL IciMapping: integrated software for genetic linkage map construction and quantitative trait locus mapping in biparental populations. *The*

- Crop Journal*, 3(3): 269-283.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cj.2015.01.001>
- Sabouri, H., & Biabani, A. (2009). Toward the mapping of agronomic characters on a rice genetic map: quantitative trait loci analysis under saline condition. *Biotechnology*, 8(1): 144-149.  
<https://doi.org/10.3923/biotech.2009.144.149>
- Stam, P. (1993). Construction of integrated genetic linkage maps by means of a new computer package: Join Map. *The plant journal*, 3(5): 739-744.  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-313X.1993.00739.x>
- Swamy, B. P. M., Kaladhar, K., Anuradha, K., Batchu, A. K., Longvah, T., & Sarla, N. (2018). QTL analysis for grain iron and zinc concentrations in two *O. nivara* derived backcross populations. *Rice Science*, 25(4): 197-207.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rsci.2018.06.001>
- Wang, S., Basten, C. J., and Zeng, Z.B. (2012). Windows QTL Cartographer 2.5. Department of Statistics, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC.

**How to cite this article:**

Bharat Lal Maurya, Alok Kumar Singh, Ramesh Kumar Maurya and Durga Prasad Rao N. V. 2026. Evaluation of Parents for Selected Grain Quality Traits through a Specific Gene-Based Markers. *Int.J.Curr.Microbiol.App.Sci*. 15(6): 209-213. doi: <https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2026.1506.022>